

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/608,723	06/26/2003	Andrew R. Marks	37278-19CIP	37278-19CIP 6915	
55734	7590 05/10/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
THELEN REID & PRIEST LLP			LI, RUIXIANG		
LESLIE G. F			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	200 CAMPUS DRIVE			TALERNOMBER	
SUITE 210 FLORHAM PARK, NJ 07932			1646		
LOKHAM	FARK, NJ 0/932		DATE MAILED: 05/10/2000	DATE MAILED: 05/10/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/608,723	MARKS, ANDREW R.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Ruixiang Li	1646		

	Ruixiang Li	1646					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>28 April 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHOUTH OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
							Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS							
	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered by	acause				
<ul> <li>. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because</li> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> </ul>							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for							
appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	* **						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	nt canceling the				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6 and 13-18</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 7-12 and 19-24.							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a				
10.   The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after en	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>			nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Continuation of 3. NOTE: only one claim, claim 14, is canceled, but new claims 25-42 are added. The new claims recite limitations, which would require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): if the amendment were entered, the rejection of claims 2, 4-6, 14, and 16-18 under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph and the rejection of claims 1-6 and 13-18 under 35 U.S.C.102 (b) as being anticipated by Nakaya et al. would be overcome. However, a new art rejection would be applied to the amended claims.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the rejection of claims 4, 5, 16, and 17 under 35 U.S.C.112, first paragraph for scope of enablement is maintained. Applicants argue that the claims are fully enabled so that one of ordinary skill in the art could carry out the claimed methods without undue experimentation. This is not found to be persuasive for the reasons set forth in the previous office actions.

Ruixiang L. 1/8/2006

RUIXIANG LI, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER